Optimization for Machine Learning
机器学习中的优化方法

陈 程

华东师范大学 软件工程学院

chchen@sei.ecnu.edu.cn

Outline

Review of Smooth and Strongly Convex

A differentiable function f is L-smooth if

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle + \frac{L}{2}\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2, \ \forall \ \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

A differentiable function f is μ-strongly convex if

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2}\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2, \ \forall \ \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$\kappa \triangleq \frac{L}{\mu}$ is the condition number.

Review of Gradient Descent

Consider an unconstrained convex optimization problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \; f(\mathbf{x}).$$

The **gradient descent** method starts with an initial point $\mathbf{x}_0$, and iteratively computes

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \eta_t \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t).$$

Review of Gradient Descent

	stepsize	convergence rate	iteration complexity
strongly convex & smooth	$\eta_t = \frac{1}{L}$ or $\eta_t = \frac{2}{\mu+L}$	$O\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^t\right)$	$O(\kappa \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$
locally strongly convex & smooth	$\eta_t = \frac{1}{L}$	$O\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^t\right)$	$O(\kappa \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$
PL condition & smooth	$\eta_t = \frac{1}{L}$	$O\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^t\right)$	$O(\kappa \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$
convex & smooth	$\eta_t = \frac{1}{L}$	$O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$	$O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$
nonconvex & smooth	$\eta_t = \frac{1}{L}$	$O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$	$O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$

Table: Convergence Property of GD

Outline

Constrained Convex Optimization

Suppose f is a convex function and $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a closed and convex set. The constrained convex optimization problem is:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \; f(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$$

Optimality Condition



Suppose f is convex and differentiable. Then

$$\mathbf{x}^* \in \arg\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}} f(\mathbf{x}) \iff \langle -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*), \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}^* \rangle \leq 0, \ \forall \ \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}$$

Projected Gradient Descent (投影梯度下降法)



Idea: project onto $\mathcal{C}$ after every gradient descent step:

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}_t - \eta_t \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)).$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}\in\mathcal{C}} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ is Euclidean projection onto $\mathcal{C}$.

Properties of Projection



Let $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be closed and convex, $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

1. $\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{z} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \leq 0.$
2. $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{z} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2$

Properties of Projection: Nonexpansivness



Let $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be closed and convex. For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{z})\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_2 .$$

Think

Suppose f is a convex function and $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed convex set. Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \; f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}^* = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}} \; f(\mathbf{x})$$

Is it true that

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})?$$

Examples



Projecting onto an affine subspace:

$$\mathbf{y} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|_2 = (\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

Examples



Projecting onto a unit Euclidean ball (ℓ_2 ball):

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}) = \underset{\|\mathbf{z}\|_2 \leq 1}{\arg\min} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_2 = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\max\{1, \|\mathbf{x}\|_2\}}$$

Examples



Projecting onto a unit ℓ_1 ball:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}) = \underset{\|\mathbf{z}\|_1 \leq 1}{\arg\min} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_2$$

If $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq 1$ then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$. Otherwise,

$$y_i = sign(x_i)(|x_i| - \lambda)_+$$

where $(\cdot)_+ = \max\{\cdot, 0\}$ and λ is the root of $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(|x_i| - \lambda)_+ = 1$.

Smooth and Strongly Convex Constrained Problems

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \; f(\mathbf{x})$$
$$\text{s.t. } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$$

- f: L-smooth and μ-strongly convex
- $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^d$: closed and convex

Smooth and Strongly Convex Constrained Problems

Let f be L-smooth and μ-strongly convex. If $\eta_t = \eta = \frac{2}{\mu+L}$, then PGD obeys

$$\|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2 \leq \left(\frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1}\right)^t \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2 .$$

the same convergence rate as for the unconstrained case

Contraction Mapping (压缩映射)

Contraction mapping in Euclidean space: If a function $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ satisfies

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \leq \gamma \|x - y\|_2 , \ \forall \ x, y \in \mathcal{X}$$

for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, then we call f is a contraction mapping.

The contraction mapping f has a unique fixed point $\hat{x}$, i.e., $f(\hat{x}) = \hat{x}$.

Smooth and Convex Constrained Problems

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \; f(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$$

- f: convex and L-smooth
- $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^d$: closed and convex

Smooth and Convex Constrained Problems

Let f be convex and L-smooth. If $\eta_t = \eta = \frac{1}{L}$, then PGD obeys

$$f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq \frac{2L\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2}{t}$$

the same convergence rate as for the unconstrained case

Convergence Analysis

Recall the main steps when handling the unconstrained case:

- **Step 1:** show improvement

$$f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \frac{1}{2L}\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|_2^2 \text{ not true for constrained case}$$

- **Step 2:** by convexity,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \leq & f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t), \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_t \rangle - \frac{1}{2L}\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|_2^2 \\ = & f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \frac{L}{2}\left\{ \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2 - \left\| \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^* - \frac{1}{L}\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) \right\|_2^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- **Step 3:** telescoping

$$f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}(f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) \leq \frac{L}{2T}\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2$$

Convergence Analysis

For the constrained case, we aims to replace $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ in the unconstrained case by

$$g_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}) = L(\mathbf{x} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{L}\nabla f(\mathbf{x})))$$

We have $g_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}_t) = L(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t+1})$.

- **Step 1:** descent guarantee

$$f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \frac{1}{2L}\|g_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}_t)\|_2^2$$

- **Step 2:**

$$f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \langle g_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}_t), \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_t\rangle - \frac{1}{2L}\|g_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}_t)\|_2^2$$

- **Step 3:** telescoping

$$f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}(f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)) \leq \frac{L}{2T}\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2$$

Outline

Frank-Wolfe Algorithm

Consider following problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \; f(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b}$$

Computing projection is very expensive!

Frank-Wolfe Algorithm



Algorithm 1 Frank-Wolfe (a.k.a. conditional gradient) Algorithm

for $t = 1, 2, \ldots$ **do**

$\mathbf{y}_t = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}} \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t), \mathbf{x} \rangle$ //direction finding

$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = (1 - \eta_t)\mathbf{x}_t + \eta_t \mathbf{y}_t$ //line search and update

Frank-Wolfe Algorithm

Algorithm 2 Frank-Wolfe (a.k.a. conditional gradient) Algorithm

for $t = 1, 2, \ldots$ **do**

$\mathbf{y}_t = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}} \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t), \mathbf{x} \rangle$ //direction finding

$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = (1 - \eta_t)\mathbf{x}_t + \eta_t \mathbf{y}_t$ //line search and update

- main step: linearization of the objective function
- appealing when linear optimization is much cheaper than projection
- stepsize: $\eta_t = \frac{2}{t+2}$

Frank-Wolfe Algorithm

Let f be convex and L-smooth. If $\eta_t = \frac{2}{t+2}$, one has

$$f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq \frac{2LD^2}{t+2}$$

where $D = \sup_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\mathcal{C}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2$

For compact constraint sets, Frank-Wolfe attains ε-accuracy with $O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ iterations.

Summary

- Frank-Wolfe: projection-free

	stepsize rule	convergence rate	iteration complexity
convex & smooth problems	$\eta_t \asymp \frac{1}{t}$	$O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$	$O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$

- projected gradient descent

	stepsize rule	convergence rate	iteration complexity
convex & smooth problems	$\eta_t = \frac{1}{L}$	$O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$	$O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$
strongly convex & smooth problems	$\eta_t = \frac{1}{L}$	$O\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^t\right)$	$O\left(\kappa \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$

Questions